

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 AIG SPECIALTY INSURANCE
11 COMPANY,

12 Plaintiff(s),

13 v.

14 LIBERTY MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE
15 COMPANY,

16 Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:17-cv-01260-APG-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 133]

17 Pending before the Court is a motion to shorten time. Docket No. 133. The Court has
18 denied without prejudice the underlying motion, so the motion to shorten time is **DENIED** as
19 moot. To the extent any renewed motion to compel is filed, it shall be briefed and decided in the
ordinary course.¹

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: September 28, 2018

22
23 
Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge
24

25 ¹ The motion to shorten time indicates that a decision granting a motion to compel is
26 ineffective if the discovery cutoff has expired. Docket No. 133 at 2. Not so. Discovery motions
27 are regularly decided after the close of discovery. *Cf. Gault v. Nabsico Biscuit Co.*, 184 F.R.D.
28 620, 622 (D. Nev. 1999) (so long as there is not undue delay in filing it, “[a] motion to compel
may be filed after the close of discovery”). In the event a renewed motion to compel is filed and
is granted after the discovery cutoff, the Court will afford a reasonable period of time for
compliance.